

A generalized Fannes' inequality

S. Furuichi^{1*}, K. Yanagi^{2†} and K. Kuriyama^{2‡}

¹Department of Computer Science and System Analysis, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University,
3-25-40, Sakurajyousui, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 156-8550, Japan

²Division of Applied Mathematical Science, Graduate School of Science and Engineering,
Yamaguchi University, Tokiwadai 2-16-1, Ube City, 755-0811, Japan

Abstract. We axiomatically characterize the Tsallis entropy of a finite quantum system. In addition, we derive a continuity property of Tsallis entropy. This gives a generalization of the Fannes' inequality.

Keywords : Uniqueness theorem, continuity property, Tsallis entropy and Fannes' inequality

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 94A17, 46N55, 26D15

1 Introduction with uniqueness theorem of Tsallis entropy

Three or four decades ago, some extensions of Shannon entropy was studied by many researchers [1]. In statistical physics, the Tsallis entropy was defined in [10] by

$$H_q(X) \equiv \frac{\sum_x (p(x)^q - p(x))}{1 - q} = \sum_x \eta_q(p(x))$$

with one parameter $q \in \mathbf{R}^+$ as an extension of Shannon entropy $H_1(X) = -\sum_x p(x) \log p(x)$, for any probability distribution $p(x) \equiv p(X = x)$ of a given random variable X . Where q -entropy function is defined by $\eta_q(x) \equiv -x^q \ln_q x = \frac{x^q - x}{1 - q}$ and the q -logarithmic function $\ln_q x \equiv \frac{x^{1-q} - 1}{1 - q}$ is defined for $q \geq 0$, $q \neq 1$ and $x \geq 0$.

The Tsallis entropy $H_q(X)$ converges to the Shannon entropy $-\sum_x p(x) \log p(x)$ as $q \rightarrow 1$. See [5] for fundamental properties of the Tsallis entropy and the Tsallis relative entropy. In the previous paper [6], we gave the uniqueness theorem for the Tsallis entropy for a classical system, introducing the generalized Faddeev's axiom. We briefly review the uniqueness theorem for Tsallis entropy below.

The function $I_q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is assumed to be defined on n -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) belonging to

$$\Delta_n \equiv \left\{ (p_1, \dots, p_n) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1, p_i \geq 0 \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, n) \right\}$$

and to take values in $\mathbf{R}^+ \equiv [0, \infty)$. Then we adopted the following generalized Faddeev's axiom.

*E-mail: furuichi@chs.nihon-u.ac.jp

†E-mail: yanagi@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

‡E-mail: kuriyama@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

Axiom 1.1 (Generalized Faddeev's axiom)

(F1) *Continuity: The function $f_q(x) \equiv I_q(x, 1-x)$ with parameter $q \geq 0$ is continuous on the closed interval $[0, 1]$ and $f_q(x_0) > 0$ for some $x_0 \in [0, 1]$.*

(F2) *Symmetry: For arbitrary permutation $\{x'_k\} \in \Delta_n$ of $\{x_k\} \in \Delta_n$,*

$$I_q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = I_q(x'_1, \dots, x'_n). \quad (1)$$

(F3) *Generalized additivity: For $x_n = y + z$, $y \geq 0$ and $z > 0$,*

$$I_q(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, z) = I_q(x_1, \dots, x_n) + x_n^q I_q\left(\frac{y}{x_n}, \frac{z}{x_n}\right). \quad (2)$$

Theorem 1.2 ([6]) *The conditions (F1), (F2) and (F3) uniquely give the form of the function $I_q : \Delta_n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ such that*

$$I_q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mu_q H_q(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (3)$$

where μ_q is a positive constant that depends on the parameter $q > 0$.

If we further impose the normalized condition on Theorem 1.2, it determines the entropy of type β (the structural α -entropy), (see p.189 on [1]).

Definition 1.3 *For a density operator ρ on a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathbf{H} , the Tsallis entropy is defined by*

$$S_q(\rho) \equiv \frac{\text{Tr}[\rho^q - \rho]}{1 - q} = \text{Tr}[\eta_q(\rho)],$$

with a nonnegative real number q .

Note that Tsallis entropy is a particular case of f -entropy [11]. See also [9] for a quasi-entropy which is a quantum version of f -divergence [3].

Let T_q be a mapping on the set $S(\mathbf{H})$ of all density operators to \mathbf{R}^+ .

Axiom 1.4 *We give the postulates which the Tsallis entropy should satisfy.*

(T1) *Continuity: For $\rho \in S(\mathbf{H})$, $T_q(\rho)$ is a continuous function with respect to the 1-norm $\|\cdot\|_1$.*

(T2) *Invariance: For unitary transformation U , $T_q(U^* \rho U) = T_q(\rho)$.*

(T3) *Generalized mixing condition: For $\rho = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \rho_k$ on $\mathbf{H} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \mathbf{H}_k$, where $\lambda_k \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k = 1$, $\rho_k \in S(\mathbf{H}_k)$, we have the additivity:*

$$T_q(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^q T_q(\rho_k) + T_q(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

where $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ represents the diagonal matrix $(\lambda_k \delta_{kj})_{k,j=1,\dots,n}$.

Theorem 1.5 *If T_q satisfies Axiom 1.4, then T_q is uniquely given by the following form*

$$T_q(\rho) = \mu_q S_q(\rho),$$

with a positive constant number μ_q depending on parameter $q > 0$.

Proof: Although the proof is quite similar to that of Theorem 2.1 in [8], we give it for readers' convenience. From (T2) and (T3), we have

$$T_q(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \lambda_1^q T_q(1) + \lambda_2^q T_q(1) + T_q(\lambda_1, \lambda_2),$$

which implies $T_q(1) = 0$. Moreover, by (T2) and (T3), when $p_n \neq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_q(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, \lambda p_n, (1-\lambda)p_n) &= p_n^q T_q(\lambda, 1-\lambda) + (1-p_n)^q T_q\left(\frac{p_1}{1-p_n}, \dots, \frac{p_{n-1}}{1-p_n}\right) \\ &+ T_q(p_n, 1-p_n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T_q(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n) = p_n^q T_q(1) + (1-p_n)^q T_q\left(\frac{p_1}{1-p_n}, \dots, \frac{p_{n-1}}{1-p_n}\right) + T_q(p_n, 1-p_n).$$

From these equations, we have

$$T_q(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, \lambda p_n, (1-\lambda)p_n) = T_q(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n) + p_n^q T_q(\lambda, 1-\lambda) \quad (4)$$

If we set $\lambda p_n = y$ and $(1-\lambda)p_n = z$ in Eq.(4), then for $p_n = y+z \neq 0$ we have

$$T_q(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, y, z) = T_q(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n) + p_n^q T_q\left(\frac{y}{p_n}, \frac{z}{p_n}\right). \quad (5)$$

Then for any $x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $0 \leq x, y < 1$, $0 < z \leq 1$ and $x+y+z=1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_q(x, y, z) &= T_q(x, y+z) + (y+z)^q T_q\left(\frac{y}{y+z}, \frac{z}{y+z}\right) \\ &= T_q(y, x+z) + (x+z)^q T_q\left(\frac{x}{x+z}, \frac{z}{x+z}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If we set $t_q(x) \equiv T_q(x, 1-x)$, then we have

$$t_q(x) + (1-x)^q t_q\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) = t_q(y) + (1-y)^q t_q\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right).$$

Taking $x=0$ and some $y>0$, we have $T_q(0,1)=t_q(0)=0$ for $q \neq 0$. Again setting $\lambda=0$ in Eq.(4) and using (T2), we have the reducing condition

$$T_q(p_1, \dots, p_n, 0) = T_q(p_1, \dots, p_n).$$

Thus T_q satisfies all conditions of the generalized Faddeev's axiom (F1), (F2) and (F3). Therefore we can apply Theorem 1.2 so that we obtain $T_q(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \mu_q H_q(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Thus we have $T_q(\rho) = \mu_q S_q(\rho)$, for density operator ρ . ■

Remark 1.6 For the special case $q=0$ in the above theorem, we need the reducing condition as an additional axiom.

2 A continuity of Tsallis entropy

We give a continuity property of the Tsallis entropy $S_q(\rho)$. To do so, we state a few lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 *For a density operator ρ on the finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathbf{H} , we have*

$$S_q(\rho) \leq \ln_q d,$$

where $d = \dim \mathbf{H} < \infty$.

Proof: Since we have $\ln_q z \leq z - 1$ for $q \geq 0$ and $z \geq 0$, we have $\frac{x - x^q y^{1-q}}{1-q} \geq x - y$ for $x \geq 0$, $y \geq 0$, $q \geq 0$ and $q \neq 1$, Therefore the Tsallis relative entropy [5]:

$$D_q(\rho|\sigma) \equiv \frac{\text{Tr}[\rho - \rho^q \sigma^{1-q}]}{1-q}$$

for two commuting density operators ρ and σ , $q \geq 0$ and $q \neq 1$, is nonnegative. Then we have $0 \leq D_q(\rho|\frac{1}{d}I) = -d^{q-1}(S_q(\rho) - \ln_q d)$. Thus we have the present lemma. ■

Lemma 2.2 *If f is a concave function and $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, then we have*

$$|f(t+s) - f(t)| \leq \max\{f(s), f(1-s)\}$$

for any $s \in [0, 1/2]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $0 \leq s+t \leq 1$.

Proof:

- (1) Consider the function $r(t) = f(s) - f(t+s) + f(t)$. Then $r'(t) \geq 0$ since f' is a monotone decreasing function. Thus we have $r(t) \geq 0$ by $r(0) = 0$. Therefore $f(t+s) - f(t) \leq f(s)$.
- (2) Consider the function of $l(t) = f(t+s) - f(t) + f(1-s)$. Then $l'(t) \leq 0$. Thus we have $l(t) \geq 0$ by $l(1-s) = 0$. Therefore $-f(1-s) \leq f(t+s) - f(t)$.

Thus we have the present lemma. ■

Lemma 2.3 *For any real number $u, v \in [0, 1]$ and $q \in [0, 2]$, if $|u-v| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $|\eta_q(u) - \eta_q(v)| \leq \eta_q(|u-v|)$.*

Proof: Since η_q is a concave function with $\eta_q(0) = \eta_q(1) = 0$, we have

$$|\eta_q(t+s) - \eta_q(t)| \leq \max\{\eta_q(s), \eta_q(1-s)\}$$

for $s \in [0, 1/2]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $0 \leq t+s \leq 1$, by Lemma 2.2. Here we set

$$h_q(s) \equiv \eta_q(s) - \eta_q(1-s), \quad s \in [0, 1/2], \quad q \in [0, 2].$$

Then we have $h_q(0) = h_q(1/2) = 0$ and $h_q''(s) \leq 0$ for $s \in [0, 1/2]$. Therefore we have $h_q(s) \geq 0$, which implies

$$\max\{\eta_q(s), \eta_q(1-s)\} = \eta_q(s).$$

Thus we have the present lemma by letting $u = t+s$ and $v = t$. ■

Theorem 2.4 *For two density operators ρ_1 and ρ_2 on the finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathbf{H} with $\dim \mathbf{H} = d$ and $q \in [0, 2]$, if $\|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_1 \leq q^{1/(1-q)}$, then*

$$|S_q(\rho_1) - S_q(\rho_2)| \leq \|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_1^q \ln_q d + \eta_q(\|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_1).$$

Where we denote $\|A\|_1 \equiv \text{Tr}[(A^*A)^{1/2}]$ for a bounded linear operator A .

proof. Let $\lambda_1^{(1)} \geq \lambda_2^{(1)} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d^{(1)}$ and $\lambda_1^{(2)} \geq \lambda_2^{(2)} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d^{(2)}$ be eigenvalues of two density operators ρ_1 and ρ_2 , respectively. (The degenerate eigenvalues are repeated according to their multiplicity.) We set $\varepsilon \equiv \sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon_j$ and $\varepsilon_j \equiv |\lambda_j^{(1)} - \lambda_j^{(2)}|$. Then we have

$$\varepsilon_j \leq \varepsilon \leq \|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_1 \leq q^{1/(1-q)} \leq 1/2$$

by Lemma 1.7 of [8]. Applying Lemma 2.3, we have

$$|S_q(\rho_1) - S_q(\rho_2)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^d |\eta_q(\lambda_j^{(1)}) - \eta_q(\lambda_j^{(2)})| \leq \sum_{j=1}^d \eta_q(\varepsilon_j).$$

By the formula $\ln_q(xy) = \ln_q x + x^{1-q} \ln_q y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^d \eta_q(\varepsilon_j) &= -\sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon_j^q \ln_q \varepsilon_j = \varepsilon \left\{ -\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\varepsilon_j^q}{\varepsilon} \ln_q \left(\frac{\varepsilon_j}{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \right) \right\} \\ &= \varepsilon \left\{ -\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\varepsilon_j^q}{\varepsilon} \ln_q \frac{\varepsilon_j}{\varepsilon} - \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\varepsilon_j^q}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_j}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1-q} \ln_q \varepsilon \right\} \\ &= \varepsilon^q \sum_{j=1}^d \eta_q \left(\frac{\varepsilon_j}{\varepsilon} \right) + \eta_q(\varepsilon) \\ &\leq \varepsilon^q \ln_q d + \eta_q(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

In the above inequality, Lemma 2.1 was used for $\rho = (\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon_d/\varepsilon)$. Therefore we have

$$|S_q(\rho_1) - S_q(\rho_2)| \leq \varepsilon^q \ln_q d + \eta_q(\varepsilon).$$

Now $\eta_q(x)$ is a monotone increase function on $x \in [0, q^{1/(1-q)}]$. In addition, x^q is a monotone increasing function for $q \in [0, 2]$. Thus we have the present theorem. \blacksquare

By taking the limit as $q \rightarrow 1$, we have the following Fannes' inequality (see pp.512 of [7], also [4, 2, 8]) as a corollary, since $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} q^{1/(1-q)} = \frac{1}{e}$.

Corollary 2.5 *For two density operators ρ_1 and ρ_2 on the finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathbf{H} with $\dim \mathbf{H} = d < \infty$, if $\|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{e}$, then*

$$|S_1(\rho_1) - S_1(\rho_2)| \leq \|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_1 \ln d + \eta_1(\|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_1),$$

where S_1 represents the von Neumann entropy $S_1(\rho) = \text{Tr}[\eta_1(\rho)]$ and $\eta_1(x) = -x \ln x$.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank referees for careful reading and providing valuable comments to improve the manuscript. The author (S.F.) was partially supported by the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young scientists (B), 17740068.

References

- [1] J.Aczel and Z.Daroczy, On measures of information and their characterizations, Academic Press, 1975.
- [2] R.Alicki and M.Fannes, Quantum Dynamical Systems, Oxford University Press, 2001.
- [3] I.Csiszar, Information type measure of difference of probability distributions and indirect observations, Studia Sci. Math. Hunga., Vol.2, pp.299-318 (1967).
- [4] M.Fannes, A continuity property of entropy density for spin lattice systems, Commun.Math.Phys.,Vol.31,pp.291-294 (1973).
- [5] S.Furuichi, K.Yanagi and K.Kuriyama, Fundamental properties of Tsallis relative entropy, J.Math.Phys,Vol.45,pp.4868-4877 (2004).
- [6] S.Furuichi, On uniqueness theorems for Tsallis entropy and Tsallis relative entropy, IEEE Trans. on Information Theory, Vol.51, pp.3638-3645 (2005).
- [7] M.A.Nielsen and I.Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Cambridge Press, 2000.
- [8] M.Ohya and D.Petz, Quantum Entropy and its Use, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [9] D.Petz, Quasi-entropies for finite quantum system, Rep.Math.Phys., Vol.23, pp.57-65 (1986).
- [10] C.Tsallis, Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics, J.Stat.Phys., Vol.52, pp.479-487 (1988).
- [11] A.Wehr, General properties of entropies, Rev.Mod.Phys., Vol.50, pp.221-260 (1978).